

## UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FIL

Г

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/908,778

08/07/97

**SCHEPS** 

R

77222

WM02/0306

COMMANDING OFFICER
LEGAL COUNSEL FOR PATENTS CODE 0012
NCCOSC RDTE DIV
53510 SILVERGATE AVENUE RM 103
SAN DIEGO CA 92152-5765

PHILIPPE G

ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER

**EXAMINER** 

2613

DATE MAILED:

03/06/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Application No.

08/908,778

Gims Philippe

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit 2613

Scheps



Office Action Summary

Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 9, 2001</u>	•
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is so is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Fails application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exte 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ure to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drag	wing Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	ejected to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copie	es of the priority documents have been
☐ received.	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial	Number)
$\square$ received in this national stage application from	the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pape	er No(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	2040
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTC	J-948
■ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION (	ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 08/908,778 Page 2

Art Unit: 2613

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's Request for Continued Examination received on January 9, 2001 in which claims 1, 4-5, were amended, and claim 7 was added has been fully considered and entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ulich et al. (US Patent no. 5,457,639).

Regarding claim 1 and 7, Ulich et al. discloses in fig. 1 the same imaging lidar comprising a pulsed laser for generating at a pulse rate a sequence of light beam pulses each having a pulse width (See Ulich et al. col. 5, lines 23-27), the lidar comprising a spatial discriminator coupled to the pulsed laser for steering the light beam pulse sequence in a plurality of scan lines describing an area surrounding a target each scan line surrounding the target (See Ulich et al.'s abstract, and col. 5, lines 6-15, and lines 25-41, and see fig. 3A-3B from beam footprint 30) wherein the spatial

Application/Control Number: 08/908,778 Page 3

Art Unit: 2613

discriminator is Ulich et al.'s scanner 20 which steers the output of the beam projector to provide the pulsed width, a photomultiplier tube for detecting energy from the light beam pulses scattered by the target and for generating an output signal representative of the scattered light beam (See Ulich et al. fig. 5, item 104, and col. 6, lines 49-51), an image acquisition controller coupled to the pulsed laser and to the photomultiplier tube for selecting pulse width and pulse rate of the light beam pulses and for generating a display signal from the output signal of the photomultiplier tube (See Ulich et al. fig. 1, scanner 20 and camera 18, and col. 5, lines 28-41), and a display coupled to the controller for generating an image from the display signal representative of the target (See Ulich et al. col. 6, lines 23-32) wherein Ulich et al. image includes no more than one pixel representing each of the light beam pulses.

As per claim 3, Ulich et al. further discloses the same imaging lidar wherein the pulse width in about 5ns (See Ulich et al. col. 5, lines 9-15).

As per claim 5, Ulich et al. further discloses the same imaging lidar wherein the controller gates the output signal from the multiplier tube to select a range interval that includes the target (See Ulich et al. col. 6, lines 42-53).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 08/908,778

Art Unit: 2613

## Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ulich et al. (US patent no. 5,457,639) in view of Contarino et al. (US Patent no. 5,822,047).

Regarding claim 2, Ulich et al. discloses substantially the same limitations as previously set forth in the above rejection of claim 1.

It is noted that Ulich et al. fails to particularly disclose the same imaging lidar wherein the laser has a wavelength corresponding to blue-green color.

Contarino et al. discloses the same imaging lidar wherein the laser has a wavelength corresponding to blue-green color (See Contarino et al. col. 2, lines 61-64).

Therefore, it is considered obvious that one skilled in the art at the time of the invention having Ulich et al. and Contarino et al. before him/her, would be motivated to incorporate the laser having a wavelength corresponding to blue-green color in Ulich et al.'s imaging lidar for the same purpose of minimizing absorption in water as taught by Contarino et al.

Application/Control Number: 08/908,778 Page 5

Art Unit: 2613

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ulich et al. (US patent no. 5,457,639) in view of Schneiter (US Patent no. 5,082,362).

As per claim 4, Ulich et al. discloses substantially the same limitations as previously set forth in the above rejection of claim 1.

It is noted that Ulich et al. fails to particularly disclose the same imaging system wherein the pulse rate is about 600 Khz.

Schneiter discloses the same imaging system wherein the pulse rate is about greater than 600 KHz (See Schneiter col. 16, lines 31-33).

Therefore, it is considered obvious that one skilled in the art at the time of the invention having Ulich et al. and Schneiter before him/her, would have had no difficulty to modify the imaging lidar system by providing a pulse rate of about 700 Khz for the same purpose of giving finer control over the raster scan rate as taught by Schneiter.

7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ulich et al. (US patent no. 5,457,639) in view of Geiger (US Patent no. 5,117,126).

Regarding claim 6, Ulich et al. discloses substantially the same limitations as previously set forth in the above rejection of claim 1.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 08/908,778

Art Unit: 2613

It is noted that Ulich et al. fails to particularly disclose a periodically poled crystal gain element for generating laser output having frequency that is a multiple of a pumping frequency.

Geiger discloses a periodically poled crystal gain element for generating laser output having frequency that is a multiple of a pumping frequency (See Geiger col. 5, lines 45-56, and col. 6, lines 7-15).

Therefore, it is considered obvious that one skilled in the art at the time of the invention having Ulich et al. and Geiger before him/her, would have had no difficulty to modify Ulich et al.'s imaging lidar by incorporating the periodically poled crystal gain element for generating laser output having frequency that is a multiple of a pumping frequency for the same purpose of achieving a balance of the effective gain of the crystals as taught by Geiger (See Geiger col. 3, lines 51-60).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gims S. Philippe whose telephone number is (703) 305-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley, can be reached on (703) 305-4856. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) - 308-9052 (formal responses) and (703) -308-5399 (for draft responses).

Art Unit: 2613

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-305-3900

Gims S. Philippe

February 26, 2001

CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600